

17020

6:30pm

11

9/19/2017

Meeting Date:

Meeting No:

Time:

PROJECT MINUTES

Project: W. Edward Balmer Elementary School Feasibility Study Project No.:

Prepared by: Joel Seeley

Re: School Building Committee Meeting

Location: High School Media Center

Distribution: School Building Committee Members, Attendees (MF)

Attendees:

PRESENT	NAME	AFFILIATION	VOTING MEMBER
✓	Joseph Strazzulla	Chairman, School Building Committee	Voting Member
✓	Melissa Walker	School Business Manager	Voting Member
✓	James Marzec	Representative of the Board of Selectmen	Voting Member
✓	Michael LeBrasseur	Chairman, School Committee	Voting Member
✓	Paul Bedigian	Representative of the Building, Planning, Construction Committee	Voting Member
✓	Steven Gogolinski	Representative of the Finance Committee	Voting Member
	Jeffrey Tubbs	Community Member with building design and/or construction experience	Voting Member
	Peter L'Hommedieu	Community Member with building design and/or construction experience	Voting Member
✓	Jeff Lundquist	Community Member with building design and/or construction experience	Voting Member
✓	Andrew Chagnon	Community Member with building design and/or construction experience	Voting Member
✓	Spencer Pollock	Parent Representative	Voting Member
	Adam Gaudette	Town Manager	Non-Voting Member
✓	Dr. Catherine Stickney	Superintendent of Schools	Non-Voting Member
✓	Steve Von Bargen	Building Maintenance Local Official	Non-Voting Member
✓	Karlene Ross	Principal, W. Edward Balmer Elementary School	Non-Voting Member
	Jill Healy	Principal, Northbridge Elementary School	Non-Voting Member
✓	Kathleen Perry	Director of Pupil Personnel Services	Non-Voting Member
✓	Lee Dore	D & W, Architect	
	Don Walter	D & W, Architect	
	Jason Boone	D & W, Architect	
✓	Thomas Hengelsberg	D & W, Architect	
✓	Joel Seeley	SMMA, OPM	

Meeting Date: 9/19/2017

Item #	Action	Discussion
11.1	Record	Call to Order, 6:37 PM, meeting opened.
11.2	Record	J. Strazzulla announced the meeting will be video and audio recorded with live broadcast and future re-broadcast.
11.3	J. Seeley	A motion was made by J. Marzec and seconded by M. LeBrasseur to approve the 9/13/2017 School Building Committee meeting minutes with the following correction:
		1. The original Middle School Wing is 1905, not 1908.
		Motion passed unanimous by those attending, one abstention.
11.4	Record	L. Dore indicated the Park Avenue and Gates Schools were LEED certified Gold under LEED-S, not the current LEED-S-Version 4.
11.5	L. Dore	L. Dore will complete the US EPA Preliminary Energy Target analysis for Balmer and NES and present at the next Committee meeting.
11.6	T. Hengelsberg	T. Hengelsberg presented an overview of Community Forum No. 3 and a listing of the comments from the breakout session, attached.
		Comments Summary:
		The C Series Grades PreK-5 Options were identified as the best path forward by the attendees.
		 A 5 year total cost of ownership to maintain the Balmer and NES as compared to a new building was requested. T. Hengelsberg will develop for Committee review.
		 A cost to maintain both Balmer and NES for the additional period between a new building construction duration and a phased renovation construction duration was requested. T. Hengelsberg will develop for Committee review.
11.7	T. Hengelsberg C. Stickney	T. Hengelsberg presented an overview of the meeting with the Northbridge Police and Fire Departments held on 9/19/2017.
	o. Guoranoy	Discussion Summary:
		A meeting is to be scheduled with the Northbridge Safety Committee. T. Hengelsberg to schedule a meeting with the Northbridge Safety Committee.
		 Providing sufficient off-site vehicle queue length for parent drop-off/pick-up was stressed by the NPD. T. Hengelsberg and C. Stickney to determine amount of parent vehicles to be accommodated for the PreK-5 Options.
		3. Both the NPD and FPD recommended developing a 4-way intersection with Lake Street and the new entry drive.
		4. T. Hengelsberg to type and distribute the meeting minutes.
11.8	T. Hengelsberg	Middle School Capacity Analysis
	C. Stickney L. Dore	 T. Hengelsberg to confirm Case 1 – Move 5th grade to elementary school, move Central Office to Middle School, is still achievable with a 1.70 efficiency factor.

Meeting Date: 9/19/2017

Item #	Action	Discussion
		2. C. Stickney to provide a listing of the net spaces and their minimum sizes that currently occupy the 1905 Wing and L. Dore to re-evaluate Case 2 - Move 5 th grade to elementary school, keep Central Office at 87 Linwood, take1905 Wing off-line, with those spaces and determine if achievable.
11.9	Record	L. Dore distributed and reviewed a draft Updated Evaluation Matrix, attached. The Matrix will be utilized in the PSR phase to assist the Committee in deciding the One Preferred Option.
11.10	T. Hengelsberg L. Dore	T. Hengelsberg presented and reviewed the updated Design Options, attached, as follows: 1. Option A1 - Repair Only – Balmer Elementary School
		 Option A2 - Repair Only – Northbridge Elementary School Option B1 – Grade 2-4 Renovation/Addition Option B2 – Grade 2-4 New Construction - Back Option B3 – Grade 2-4 New Construction - Front Option C1 – Grade PK-5 Renovation/Addition – New CR Wing Option C2 – Grade PK-5 Renovation/Addition – Exist CR Wing Option C3 – Grade PK-5 New Construction - Back Option C4 – Grade PK-5 New Construction - Side Option C5 - Grade PK-5 New Construction - Front
		Committee Discussion:
		 T. Hengelsberg to flip the bus and parent drop-off locations in Options B3 and C5.
		2. T. Hengelsberg to add the Pre-K drop-off/pick parking lot to all Options.
		 J. Tubbs asked (prior meeting) if D&W can review the settlement issues in the existing building and determine if renovating the classroom wing is viable. T. Hengelsberg to review and provide direction.
		 L. Dore indicated D&W is exploring tightening up the floor plan layout of Option C4.
		 J. Strazzulla asked if there are any zoning restrictions that would affect any of the options? T. Hengelsberg indicated the 3-story options are above the 35 foot height limit, but that municipal buildings are exempt from local zoning, by the so-called Dover Amendment.
		7. A. Chagnon cautioned that height may not be exempt by the Dover Amendment. T. Hengelsberg to confirm if height is exempt by the Dover Amendment.
		8. A. Chagnon asked if the School Administration is comfortable with the 3-story options?C. Stickney indicated yes, the Educational Leadership Team is very comfortable with the 3-story options.
		 P. Bedigian agrees that the intersection with Lake Street and the new entry drive should be a 4-way intersection.

Meeting Date: 9/19/2017

Item #	Action	Discussion
		K. Ross indicated the disruption to education in a Phased Renovation and Addition project is significant compared with a new construction project.
		 J. Lundquist indicated performing asbestos abatement is much more difficult and costly in a Phased Renovation and Addition project than a new construction project.
		12. The C Series PreK-5 Options are preferable and Option C3 is preferable over Option C5 relative to disruption to Balmer school, parent queue length and safety.
		D&W will continue refining the Options.
11.11	J. Strazzulla Committee	L. Dore distributed and reviewed the updated Construction Cost estimates, attached for the B Series Options, which were corrected to reflect the 89,463 GSF. J. Seeley distributed and reviewed the updated Total Project Cost, Reimbursement Rate, MSBA Grant and Cost to Town, Tax Impact estimates and Construction Schedule for all the options, attached.
		Committee Discussion:
		J. Marzec recommends the Committee present its progress to the Selectmen soon.
		J. Strazzulla to present the Committee's progress to the Selectmen at their 9/25/2017 meeting.
		 J. Strazzulla to present the Committee's progress to the Finance Committee at their 9/20/2017 meeting.
		3. J. Strazzulla indicated the attendees at Community Forum No. 3 stressed that the cost for the B Series Grade 2-4 Options needed to have the A Series NES Repair cost added to them, for an apples to apples comparison to the C Series PreK-5 Options.
		 A. Chagnon indicated that the NES cost could be higher than presented, which are in 2019 dollars, due to escalation.
		5. M. LeBrasseur indicated that over the course of the 20 year bond, the average homeowner will pay approximately \$16,000, which is the same, whether a new Grade 2-4 Balmer plus a Repair-Only NES or a new consolidated Grade PreK-5 school.
		6. J. Lundquist suggested that the Committee should identify possible outcomes for the disposition of NES should a Grade PreK-5 option be the selected option. Committee members to develop a list of possible outcomes for the disposition of NES should a Grade PreK-5 option be the selected option.
		7. The C Series PreK-5 Options are preferable relative to overall cost impact.
11.12	J. Seeley	J. Seeley distributed and reviewed a draft Community Survey, attached.
	_	Committee Discussion:
		Question 1 - Add Northbridge Homeowner
		2. Question 2 – delete

Meeting Date: 9/19/2017

Item #	Action	Discussion
		3. Question 3 – Change to "Maintain current configuration"
		 Question 4 – Change topics to "Cost, Education, Sustainability, Traffic, Community Use and Accessibility"
		5. Question 5 – OK
		6. Question 6 – OK
		7. New Question – add before Question 6, "How do you receive your information on Town and School news?"
		J. Seeley to update and forward to the Committee for review and approval.
11.13	C. Stickney	The PR subcommittee update:
	Committee	 A "Contact Us with Any Questions" to be added to the Project Website, C. Stickney to follow-up.
	J. Seeley J. Strazzulla T. Hengelsberg M. LeBrasseur	 J. Strazzulla provided a listing of upcoming meetings/events and asked for Committee Volunteers to attend: a. 9/20/2017 Finance Committee Meeting b. 9/25/2017 Selectmen Meeting c. 9/29/2017 PTA Meeting d. 10/2/2017 Historical Society Committee volunteers to coordinate with M. LeBrasseur.
		J. Seeley to develop a Shared Calendar for the Committee to track upcoming meetings/events.
		4. J. Strazzulla to coordinate a joint meeting with the Selectmen, School Committee and Finance Committee.
		5. C. Stickney to coordinate a meeting with the Council on Aging.
		6. C. Stickney asked Committee members to provide a listing of any upcoming events that can be added to the PR Calendar. Committee members to send their events list to M. LeBrasseur.
		7. T. Hengelsberg to develop a handout flyer and poster boards for distribution at upcoming events.
		8. J. Seeley to develop a FAQ for the project website.
		9. J. Strazzulla to review if there is a Seniors Tax Abatement.
		 M. LeBrasseur asked the Committee to provide direction on a list of Talking Points. Committee members to send their Talking Points list to M. LeBrasseur.
		 J. Strazzulla asked the PR Subcommittee to create an Email Mailing List of community members that provided their email addresses to receive Project Information via email on the Exit Tickets from the Community Forums. M. LeBrasseur will follow-up.
		J. Marzec recommends the PR Subcommittee schedule neighborhood meetings to help get the information out to the community.

Meeting Date: 9/19/2017

Meeting No.: 11 Page No.: 6

Item # Action Discussion 12. J. Marzec recommends the PR Subcommittee schedule meetings with apartment developments to help get the information out to the community. 11.14 Record Public Comments - None 11.15 J. Seeley Old or New Business -1. K. Ross indicated that Community Forum No. 4 will need to be held at Balmer and Community Forum No. 5 will need to be held at NES due to a conflict with the PTA Book Fare. J. Seeley to update the Meetings and Agendas Schedule for the PSR Phase. 2. J. Lundquist asked if the Geotech, Geo-Environmental, Hazardous Materials and Traffic Reports can be distributed to the Committee for review? T. Hengelsberg indicated yes, however, once they have been reviewed by D&W for completeness/correctness. 11.16 Record Next SBC Meeting: October 3, 2017 at 6:30 pm at the High School Media Center. 11.17 Community Forum No. 4: October 30, 2017 at 6:00 pm at the Balmer Elementary School Record Library. Record 11.18 A Motion was made by A. Chagnon and seconded by M. LeBrasseur to adjourn the meeting. No discussion, voted unanimously.

Attachments: Agenda, draft Updated Evaluation Matrix, Construction Cost estimates, Total Project Cost, Reimbursement Rate, MSBA Grant and Cost to Town, Tax Impact estimates, Construction Schedule, draft Community Survey, Powerpoint

The information herein reflects the understanding reached. Please contact the author if you have any questions or are not in agreement with these Project Minutes

JGS/sat/P:\2017\17020\04-MEETINGS\4.3 Mtg_Notes\School Building Committee\11_2017_19September-Schoolbuildingcommittee\For PDP Submission\Schoolbuildingcommitteemeeting_19September2017_FINAL.Docx

PROJECT MEETING SIGN-IN SHEET

Project:

W. Edward Balmer Elementary School Feasibility Study

Prepared by:

Joel Seeley

Location:

Re:

School Building Committee Meeting

High School Media Center

427 Linwood Avenue, Whitinsville, MA

Distribution:

Attendees, (MF)

Project No.:

17020

Meeting Date:

9/19/2017

Meeting No: Time:

6:30pm

SIGNATURE	ATTENDEES	EMAIL	AFFILIATION
The Contract	Joseph Strazzulla	jstrazzulla@nps.org	Chairman, School Building Committee
	Melissa Walker	mwalker@nps.org	School Business Manager, MCPPO
11/2	James Marzec	james.r.marzec@gmail.com	Member, Board of Selectmen, CEO
4 mg Dun	Michael LeBrasseur	mlebrasseur@nps.org	Chairman, School Committee
& Bedjagan	Paul Bedigian	bedigianps@cdmsmith.com	Representative of the Building, Planning Construction Committee
Suly	Steven Gogolinski	steve@gogolinskicpa.com	Representative of the Finance Committee
	Jeffrey Tubbs	jtubbs@charter.net	Member of community with architecture engineering and/or construction experience
	Peter L'Hommedieu	PLHommedieu@shawmut.com	Member of community with architecture engineering and/or construction experience
	Jeff Lundquist	ilundquist@therichmondgroup.com	Member of community with architecture, engineering and/or construction experience
100	Andrew Chagnon	achagnon@parecorp.com	Member of community with architecture, engineering and/or construction experience
neigh	Spencer Pollock	spencerpollock22@gmail.com	Parent Representative
111:	Adam Gaudette	agaudette@northbridgemass.org	Town Manager
ined Stickne	Ør. Catherine Stickney	cstickney@nps.org	Superintendent of Schools, NPS
-/11	Steve Von Bargen	svonbargen@nps.org	Building Maintenance Local Official
level Coss	Karlene Ross	kross@nps.org	Principal, W. Edward Balmer Elementary
	Jill Healy	jhealy@nps.org	Principal, Northbridge Elementary School
Win Yeur	Kathleen Perry	kperry@nps.org	Director of Pupil Personnel Services
m 1	Lee P. Dore	lpdore@DoreandWhittier.com	Dore & Whittier Architects
	Donald M Walter	dwalter@DoreandWhittier.com	Dore & Whittier Architects
V	Jason Boone	jboone@DoreandWhittier.com	Dore & Whittier Architects
My	Thomas Hengelsberg	thengelsberg@DoreandWhittier.com	Dore & Whittier Architects
. 0	Rani Philip	rphilip@DoreandWhittier.com	Dore & Whittier Architects
15	Joel Seeley	jseeley@smma.com	SMMA

p:\2017\17020\04-meetings\4.3 mtg_notes\school building committee\11_2017_19september-schoolbuildingcommittee\schoolbuildingcommitteemeetingsign-in sheet_19september2017.docx

1000 Massachusetts Avenue Cambridge, MA 02138 617.547.5400



Agenda

Project: W. Edward Balmer Elementary School Feasibility Study

Re: School Building Committee Meeting

Meeting Location: High School Media Center

427 Linwood Avenue, Whitinsville, MA

17020

9/19/2017

6:30 PM

11

Project No.:

Meeting Date:

Meeting Time:

Meeting No.

Prepared by: Joel G. Seeley

Distribution: Committee Members (MF)

1. Call to Order

- 2. Approval of Minutes
- 3. Approval of Invoices and Commitments
- 4. Review Community Forum No. 3 Findings
- 5. Community Survey
- 6. Design Alternatives Evaluation
- 7. PR Subcommittee Update
- 8. New or Old Business
- 9. Committee Questions
- 10. Public Comments
- 11. Next Meeting:
 - October 3, 2017
- 12. Adjourn

1000 Massachusetts Avenue Cambridge, MA 02138 617.547.5400

www.smma.com



DRAFT

PM&C Estimate

Dated 9/12/17 (B-series	updated 9/18/17)				
SF	Option	Costs			Cost/SF
71,871	Option A1 - Repair Only Balmer ES	Construction Cost Fees,Testing, Utilities, and Expenses FFE/Technology Contingencies	total	\$26,136,536 \$4,748,432 \$0 \$1,829,558 \$32,714,526	\$455
56,560	Option A2 - Repair Only NES	Construction Cost Fees,Testing, Utilities, and Expenses FFE/Technology Contingencies	total	\$15,825,918 \$3,326,499 \$0 \$1,107,814 \$20,260,231	\$358
	Option B1 - Grade 2-4				
89,463	Renovation/Addition	Construction Cost Fees,Testing, Utilities, and Expenses FFE/Technology Contingencies	total	\$43,942,763 \$8,292,273 \$1,734,000 \$3,075,993 \$57,045,029	\$638
89,463	Option B2 - Grade 2-4 New Construction - Back	Construction Cost Fees,Testing, Utilities, and Expenses FFE/Technology Contingencies	total	\$47,511,518 \$8,854,055 \$1,734,000 \$3,325,806 \$61,425,379	\$687
89,463	Option B3 - Grade 2-4 New Construction - Front	Construction Cost Fees,Testing, Utilities, and Expenses FFE/Technology Contingencies	total	\$46,861,099 \$8,766,248 \$1,734,000 \$3,280,277 \$60,641,624	\$678
172,845	Option C1 - Grade PK-5 Renovation/Addition - New CR wing	Construction Cost Fees,Testing, Utilities, and Expenses FFE/Technology Contingencies	total	\$83,661,928 \$14,829,360 \$3,502,000 \$5,856,335 \$107,849,623	\$624
172,845	Option C2 - Grade PK-5 Renovation/Addition - Exist CR Wing	Construction Cost Fees,Testing, Utilities, and Expenses FFE/Technology Contingencies		\$79,133,659 \$14,218,044 \$3,502,000 \$5,539,356	•
			total	\$102,393,059	\$592
172,845	Option C3 - Grade PK-5 New Construction - Back	Construction Cost Fees,Testing, Utilities, and Expenses FFE/Technology Contingencies	total	\$81,091,681 \$14,442,377 \$3,502,000 \$5,676,418 \$104,712,476	\$606
	Option C4 - Grade PK-5				
172,845	New Construction - Side	Construction Cost Fees,Testing, Utilities, and Expenses FFE/Technology Contingencies	total	\$88,085,064 \$15,386,484 \$3,502,000 \$6,165,954 \$113,139,502	\$655
	Option C5 - Grade PK-5				
172,845	New Construction - Front	Construction Cost Fees,Testing, Utilities, and Expenses FFE/Technology Contingencies	total	\$80,541,890 \$14,368,155 \$3,502,000 \$5,637,932 \$104,049,977	\$602



DRAFT

Total Reimbursement Rate 0 0 65.13 61.11 61.11 61.84 63.19 61.11 61.11 61.11



DRAFT

PM&C Estimate Dated 9/12/17 (B-Series updated 9/18/

Dated 9/12/17 (B-Series updated 9/18/17)										
	Option A1 - Repair Option A2 - Repair 2-4 Only Balmer ES Option B1 - Grade Option B3 - Grade 2-4 2-4 2-4 2-4 Addition Back Front	Option A2 - Repair Only NES	Option B1 - Grade 2-4 Renovation/ Addition	Option B2 - Grade Option B3 - Grade 2-4 2-4 New Construction · New Construction Back Front	Option B3 - Grade 2-4 New Construction · Front	Option C1 - Grade Option C2 - Grade PK-5 Renovation/ Renovation/ Addition - New CR Addition - Exist CR wing Wing		Option C3 - Grade Option C4 - Grade Option C5 - Grade PK-5 PK-5 New Construction · New Construction Back Side - Front	Option C3 - Grade Option C4 - Grade Option C5 - Grade PK-5 PK-5 New Construction · New Construction · Side - Front - Front	Option C5 - Grade PK-5 New Construction - Front
Total Project Cost	\$32,714,526	\$20,260,231	\$57,045,029	\$61,425,379	\$60,641,624	\$107,849,623	\$102,393,059	\$104,712,476	\$113,139,502	\$104,049,977
Approximate MSBA Reimbursement	0\$	0\$	\$24,762,504	\$23,987,726	\$23,922,882	\$46,518,887	\$46,814,054	\$45,800,346	\$46,501,839	\$45,745,225
Approximate Cost to the Town	\$32,714,526	\$20,260,231	\$32,282,525	\$37,437,653	\$36,718,742	\$61,330,736	\$55,579,005	\$58,912,130	\$66,637,663	\$58,304,752
Summary of Approximate Ineligible Costs	ç	ç	\$4 124 512	¢2 EEA 1A3	\$12.779.05	¢178 504	¢1 F81 0F0	\$1 222 248	\$708.016	¢651 806
Building Costs	a c	a e	\$12,240,818	\$15,827,418	\$15,852,616	\$27,574,225	\$22,030,958	\$23,769,077	\$31,281,881	\$23,789,221
Asbestos Flooring Abatement	na	na	\$180,000	\$180,000	\$180,000	\$180,000	\$180,000	\$180,000	\$180,000	\$180,000
FFE/Technology over \$2,400/student	na	na	\$510,000	\$510,000	\$510,000	\$1,030,000	\$1,030,000	\$1,030,000	\$1,030,000	\$1,030,000
Legal Fees, Moving Expenses, Contingencies	na	na	\$1,957,711	\$2,100,461	\$2,074,444	\$3,666,477	\$3,485,346	\$3,563,667	\$3,843,403	\$3,541,676
	0\$	0\$	\$19,023,042	\$22,172,022	\$21,494,378	\$32,629,206	\$28,307,363	\$29,765,092	\$37,044,200	\$29,192,793





DRAFT - FOR DISCUSSION PURPOSES ONLY

								Option C2 -			
				Option B1 -	Option B2 - Grade 2-4	Option B3 - Grade 2-4	Option C1 - Grade PK-5	Grade PK-5	Option C3 - Grade PK-5	Option C4 - Grade PK-5	Option C5 - Grade PK-5
	0	Option A1 -	Option A2	Grade 2-4		New	Renovation/	Addition -	New	New	New
	Ж Ш	Repair Only Balmer ES	Repair Only NES	/ Renovation/ Addition		Construction · Construction · Addition - Back Front New CR win	Addition - New CR wing	Exist CR Wing	Construction Back	Construction · Construction · Construction Back Side - Front	· Construction - Front
Northbridge Share	\$	\$32,714,526	\$20,260,231	\$32,282,525	\$37,437,653	\$36,718,742	\$61,330,736	\$55,579,005	\$58,912,130	\$66,637,663	\$58,304,752
	<u> </u>										
Rate		5.00%	2.00%	% 2:00%	% 2:00%	2.00%	2.00%	2.00%	5.00%	2.00%	2.00%
Term (years)		20		20 2	20 20	20	20	20	20	20	20
Yearly Payment-20 yr Average	↔	2,494,483	\$ 2,494,483 \$ 1,544,843	3 \$ 2,461,543	3 \$ 2,854,621	\$ 2,799,804 \$	\$ 4,676,469 \$	4,237,899	\$ 4,492,050 \$	\$ 5,081,122 \$	\$ 4,445,737
Average Home Value	↔	284,000	\$ 284,000	0 \$ 284,000	0 \$ 284,000	\$ 284,000	\$ 284,000	\$ 284,000	\$ 284,000	\$ 284,000	\$ 284,000
Annual Tax Increase Average Home-20 yr Average	€	457.77	\$ 283.50	0 \$ 451.73	3 \$ 523.87	\$ 513.81	\$ 858.20	\$ 777.72	\$ 824.36	\$ 932.46	\$ 815.86
Annual Tax Increase per \$1,000 Valuation	↔	1.6119 \$	\$ 0.9982	2 \$ 1.5906	6 \$ 1.8446	\$ 1.8092	\$ 3.0218	\$ 2.7384	\$ 2.9027	\$ 3.2833	\$ 2.8727
Impact Average Home-20 Years	↔	9,155	\$ 5,670	0 \$ 9,034	4 \$ 10,477	\$ 10,276	\$ 17,163	\$ 15,554	\$ 16,487	\$ 18,649	\$ 16,317

Assumptions: Tax rate based on Fiscal 2017 assessed valuation and AVERAGE house value of \$284,000. Yearly impact will change based upon subsequent year tax rates and valuations.



Northbridge - Balmer ES Design Options Northbridge, MA

PDP Estimate

19-Sep-17

MAIN CONSTRUCTION COST SUMMARY

	Construction Start	Gross Floor Area	\$/sf	Estimated Construction Cost
OPTION B1 - GRADE 2-4 (510 STUD	ENTS)			
RENOVATION TO BALMER ES		71,871	\$260.00	\$18,686,460
NEW ADDITION		17,592	\$340.00	\$5,981,280
HAZMAT REMOVALS				\$1,185,000
SITEWORK				\$4,803,386
SUB-TOTAL	Jan-20	89,463	\$342.67	\$30,656,126
ESCALATION	9.33%			\$2,860,217
DESIGN AND PRICING CONTINGENCY	10%			\$3,065,613
SUB-TOTAL WITH CONTINGENCY & ESCALATION	Jan-20	89,463	\$408.91	\$36,581,956
GENERAL CONDITIONS - 3 YRS				\$2,880,000
GENERAL REQUIREMENTS	4.00%			\$1,463,278
BONDS	1.00%			\$365,820
INSURANCE	1.75%			\$640,184
PERMIT				NIC
GMP CONTINGENCY	2%			\$731,639
CM FEE	3%			\$1,279,886
TOTAL OF CONSTRUCTION - OPTION B1	Jan-20	89,463	\$491.18	\$43,942,763



Northbridge - Balmer ES

Design Options 19-Sep-17 Northbridge, MA

PDP Estimate

OPTION B2 - GRADE 2-4 (510 STUDENTS)

NEW SCHOOL		89,463	\$310.00	\$27,733,530
HAZMAT REMOVALS				\$1,185,000
DEMOLISH EXISTING BUILDING		71,871	\$6.00	\$431,226
SITEWORK				\$4,716,068
SUB-TOTAL	Jan-20	89,463	\$380.78	\$34,065,824
ESCALATION	9.33%			\$3,178,341
DESIGN AND PRICING CONTINGENCY	10%			\$3,406,582
SUB-TOTAL WITH CONTINGENCY & ESCALATION	Jan-20	89,463	\$454.39	\$40,650,747
GENERAL CONDITIONS - 2 YRS				\$1,920,000
GENERAL REQUIREMENTS	4.00%			\$1,626,030
BONDS	1.00%			\$406,507
INSURANCE	1.75%			\$711,388
PERMIT				NIC
GMP CONTINGENCY	2%			\$813,015
CM FEE	3%			\$1,383,831
TOTAL OF CONSTRUCTION - OPTION B2	Jan-20	89,463	\$531.07	\$47,511,518



Northbridge - Balmer ES

Design Options 19-Sep-17 Northbridge, MA

PDP Estimate

OPTION B3 - GRADE 2-4 (510 STUDENTS)

NEW SCHOOL		89,463	\$310.00	\$27,733,530
HAZMAT REMOVALS				\$1,185,000
DEMOLISH EXISTING BUILDING		71,871	\$6.00	\$431,226
SITEWORK				\$4,229,462
SUB-TOTAL	Jan-20	89,463	\$375.34	\$33,579,218
ESCALATION	9.33%			\$3,132,941
DESIGN AND PRICING CONTINGENCY	10%			\$3,357,922
SUB-TOTAL WITH CONTINGENCY & ESCALATION	Jan-20	89,463	\$447.90	\$40,070,081
GENERAL CONDITIONS - 2 YRS				\$1,920,000
GENERAL REQUIREMENTS	4.00%			\$1,602,803
BONDS	1.00%			\$400,701
INSURANCE	1.75%			\$701,226
PERMIT				NIC
GMP CONTINGENCY	2%			\$801,402
CM FEE	3%			\$1,364,886
TOTAL OF CONSTRUCTION - OPTION B3	Jan-20	89,463	\$523.80	\$46,861,099

Preliminary Ev: Preliminary Evaluation of Alternatives - Balmer School

(1 = least successful, 5 = Most Successful)

		Revised 9-18-17			(1 = lea.	(1 = least successful, 5 = Most Successful)	st Successful)						
	*		Option A1	Option A2	Option R1	Option 82	Option 83	Option	Option	Option 53	Option	Option	Option
	0		Balmer ES	NES	510 Students	510 Students	510 Students	1030 Students	1030 Students	1030 Students	1030 Students	1030 Students	1030 Students
WEIGHT		30	CIP Only	CIP Only	Renovation/	New Construction @ Balmer -	New Construction @ New Construction @ Balmer - FRONT	Ξ.	Renovation/ Addition @ Balmer - KEEP &	New Construction @ Balmer	New Construction @ Balmer	New Construction @ Balmer	New Construction @ Balmer
						REAR OF SITE	OF SITE	EXIST ACADEMIC WING	RENO ACADEMIC WING	REAR OF SITE	EAST EDGE OF SITE/REAR	FRONT OF SITE	Gen. Description TBD
(1)	30 1. Education						-		=		-		
13	1.1	Provides greatest benefit to most number of students											
9	1.2	Satisfies the Space Program											
9	1.3	Satisfies the Spatial Adjacencies											
	2 1.4 Impact to S	Impact to Students During Construction											
m	1.5	Classroom Solar Orientation											
		Weighted Score	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
	2. Scale to Neighbor	2. Scale to Neighborhood Context, Swing Space,											
	and												
m	2.1 Building Scale to Site	Scale to Site											
5		2.2 Swing Space Not Required											
2		2.3 Permitting (time, difficulty)											
		Weighted Score	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
7	10 3. Site Circulation												
5		3.1 Separation of Cars, Buses, Vans, and Pedestrians											
c													
2		3.4 Provides Sufficient Space for Parent Queue											
		Weighted Score	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
	10 4. Site Features												
2		4.1 Provides Outdoor Play Fields / Area											
2		4.2 Provides an Opportunity / Location for a Hardscape Play Area											
2		4.3 Provides an opportunity for outdoor learning places											
2		4.4 Provides Area for Age-appropriate Play Structure(s)											

Preliminary Ev: Preliminary Evaluation of Alternatives - Balmer School

Sevised 9-18-17	Option A1 Balmer ES	WEIGHT CIP Only	4.5 Location of Site Features	Weighted Score 0	10 5. Safety & Security Features	Weighted Score 0	10 6. Construction Time and Impacts	6.1 Time to completion/construction duration	6.2 Existing School Operations Impacts	6.3 Risk of Schedule Delays/ Complexity of construction phasing	Weighted Score 0	SUB-TOTALS 0
	Option A2 NES	CIP Only		0		0					0	0
(1 = lea	Option B1 510 Students	Renovation/ Addition @ Balmer		0		0					0	0
(1 = least successful, 5 = Most Successful)	Option B2 510 Students	New Construction @ Balmer - REAR OF SITE		0		0					0	0
t Successful)	Option B3 510 Students	New Construction @ Balmer - FRONT OF SITE		0		0					0	0
	Option C1 1030 Students	Renovation/ Addition @ Balmer - DEMO EXIST ACADEMIC WING		0		0					0	0
	Option C2 1030 Students	Renovation/ Addition @ Balmer - KEEP & RENO ACADEMIC WING		0		0					0	0
	Option C3 1030 Students	New Construction @ Balmer REAR OF SITE		0		0					0	0
	Option C4 1030 Students	New Construction @ Balmer EAST EDGE OF SITE/REAR		0		0					0	0
	Option C5 1030 Students	New Construction @ Balmer FRONT OF SITE		0		0					0	0
	Option C6 1030 Students	New Construction @ Balmer Gen. Description TBD		0		0					0	0

20	7. Cost											
5	7.1 Total Project Cost	\$ 32,714,526	\$ 20,260,231	\$ 57,045,029	\$ 61,425,379	\$ 60,641,624	\$ 107,849,623	\$ 102,393,059	\$ 104,712,476	\$ 113,139,502	\$ 104,049,977	- \$
	Score											
	Weighted Score	d Score 0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
m	7.2 Total Construction Cost	\$ 26,136,536	\$ 15,825,918	\$ 43,942,763	\$ 47,511,518	\$ 46,861,099	\$ 83,661,928	\$ 79,133,659	\$ 81,091,681	\$ 88,085,064	\$ 80,541,890	- \$
	Score											
	Weighted Score	d Score 0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
2	7.3 Swing Space	TBD	TBD	TBD	- \$	- \$	TBD	TBD	- \$	- \$	- \$	- \$
	Score											
	Weighted Score	d Score 0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
10	7.4 Total Cost to Town (Approx.)	\$ 32,714,526	\$ 20,260,231	\$ 32,282,525	\$ 37,437,653	\$ 36,718,742	\$ 61,330,736	\$ 55,579,005	\$ 58,912,130	\$ 66,637,663	\$ 58,304,752	- \$
	Score											
	Weighted Score	d Score 0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
	Weighted Sco	Score 0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
100	100 GRAND TOTALS	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0

PROJECT MANAGEMENT	17 2018 2019 2020 2021 2022 2023								10/6/2017 Submit PDP to MSBA Staff				1/3/2018 Submit PSR to MSBA FAS				5/9/2018 Submit Schematic Design to MSBA	ω ω					-																				
W. Edward Balmer Elementary School Feasibility Study Preliminary Project Schedule	Duration Start Finish 2016 2017	434 days 3/9/2015 11/9/2016	45 days 1/30/2017 4/3/2017	3/8/2017	166 days 6/27/2017 2/14/2018	74 days 6/27/2017 10/6/2017	7/27/2017	8/25/2017 10/6/2017	10/6/2017 10/6/2017	10/6/2017	10/6/2017	10/6/2017 1/3/2018	0 days 1/3/2018 1/3/2018	0144,0000			5/9/2018	6/27/2018		21 days 9/17/2018 10/15/2018	10/15/2018	239 days 11/15/2018 10/15/2019	11/15/2019	12/16/2019	12/16/2019	12/16/2019	524 days 12/16/2019 12/16/2021	8/17/2021	524 days 12/16/2019 12/16/2021	436 days 12/16/2019 8/16/2021	12/16/2019	12/16/2019	957 days 12/16/2019 8/15/2023	6102/01/21	12/16/2019	12/16/2019	8/15/2022	12/16/2019	12/16/2019	8/15/2022	12/16/2019	12/16/2019	89 days 8/15/2022 12/15/2022
February 14, 2017 Updated September 15, 2017	ID Task Name	1 MSBA PREREQUISITES	4 RETAIN OPM	10 RETAIN DESIGNER	20 FEASIBILITY STUDY (FS)	21 Develop Preliminary Design Program (PDP)			Submit PDP to MSBA Staff				28 Submit PSR to MSBA FAS		SO	22 Community Descentations			_					ŏ	ō		44 Option B2: New Construction - Back - Grades 2-4		0	48 Building	0		52 Option C2: Phased Renovation and Additions - Grades PreK-5 - Existing Classroom Wing		ō			0			ō		62 Demo/Site Work

School Building Committee Community Survey

As the School Building Committee prepares its recommendation for a Preferred Schematic Design to the Massachusetts School Building Authority, it is important that we hear from you.

Please complete the following short survey; the results will help guide the decision-making process as the School Building Committee continues its important work.

1.		se select all stakeholder groups that apply to you.
		Student Parent
		Northbridge Resident
		Northbridge Registered Voter
		Northbridge Business Owner
		Northbridge Elected Official
		Northbridge Public Schools employee
		Other (please specify)
2.		s the current W. Edward Balmer Elementary School building hold sentimental e to you?
		•
3.		ch of the following options do you support as being the most needed?
		Keep a separated Grades PreK-1 school, a Grades 2-4 school and the 5 th Grade in the Middle School
		Consolidate Grades PreK-5 into one school
		Solisolidate Grades Front Silite Solicol
4.	Wha	t is the most important consideration in the decision-making process for
		mmending a capital school building project to the Northbridge Community for
		roval? Please rank the following priorities with 1 being the most important and 6
	bein	g the least important.
		Cost – minimal impact to taxpayers
		Education – greatest benefit to all learners
		Separate Schools – maintain separate Grades PreK-1 and Grades 2-4 schools
		Consolidation – one consolidated PreK through Grade 5 elementary school
		Grade 5 – relocation from Northbridge Middle School to an elementary school setting
		Grade 5 – maintain current Grade 5 through Grade 8 at the Northbridge Middle School



School Building Committee Community Survey

·-	Is there another important consideration that is not listed above? If so, please explain.
	How can the School Building Committee improve communication with the public
	regarding this project and state grant?